

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Biochemistry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRB_97862
Title of the Manuscript:	Potential of Pterocarpus erinaceus aqueous stem bark extract to prevent oxidative kidney damage in diabetic rats.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajrb.com/index.php/AJRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1) Yes. It is important for scientific community because Diabetic nephropathy is one of the most serious complications of diabetes can lead to end-stage renal failure characterized by specific renal morphological and functional alterations. In this reactive oxygen plays an important role.</p> <p>2) Yes. Title need to be modify</p> <p>3) Yes.</p> <p>4) Yes. The experiments are well conducted, and the purpose of this study seems to be clear.</p> <p>5) Yes.</p> <p>6) Should add more recent references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1) Mention long form of the abbreviation used for the first time.</p> <p>2) Grammar should be revised</p>	
Optional/General comments	Nil	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Santoshi Ram Ghodake
Department, University & Country	SMBT Dental College and Hospital, India