

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_97063
Title of the Manuscript:	CALIBRATION APPROACH RATIO ESTIMATORS OF POPULATION MEDIAN IN STRATIFIED RANDOM SAMPLING DESIGN
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? 2. Is the title of the article suitable? 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes it is. Yes it is. Yes it is. In general, they are done in a good manner. Based on work findings, it is correct They are not sufficient. I suggested to include recent published works in respected journals indexed by clarivate or Scopus resources. References should be cited within text in an order Mannar like [1], [2] and so on.....	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes it is.	
Optional/General comments	Please use the full words for Abbreviations and parameters like the first name of authors Ross lhaka and Robert Gentleman (R) software.	

Comment [N1]:

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Idrees Kocher
Department, University & Country	Duhok Polytechnic University, Iraq