

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_97063
Title of the Manuscript:	CALIBRATION APPROACH RATIO ESTIMATORS OF POPULATION MEDIAN IN STRATIFIED RANDOM SAMPLING DESIGN
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) In my opinion this will add a useful contribution</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? YES (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? YES</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? YES</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? YES</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. YES (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. In my opinion this will add a useful contribution</p> <p>2. YES</p> <p>3. YES</p> <p>4. YES</p> <p>5. YES</p> <p>6. YES</p> <p>7. YES</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? This manuscript is well written and well organized.</p>	This manuscript is well written and well organized	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript is well written and well organized. In my opinion this will add a useful contribution. I am in favor of accepting this manuscript, once the author(s) addresses mentioned below these two concern.</p> <p>1) Why considered sample sizes 10% to 25%? Please explain it? 2) Is it possible to increase sample sizes 30% and over?</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anwar Hossain
Department, University & Country	New Mexico Tech, USA