

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_98086
Title of the Manuscript:	THE INFLUENCE OF BANK HEALTH LEVEL WITH RGEK ON BANK FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The effort to assess the statistical significance on the over all financial performance of the selected banks in the light of thematically sound bank performance is appreciated.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, but, If provided few aspects of outcome may more clear modestly structured</p> <p>In social science research the data set fitness and suitable statistical tools application based results indicate the scientific effects of the results, hence it is reasonable and fair.</p> <p>Fair enough</p> <p>The analysis is reasonable effective and recommended for publication.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes fair enough.	
Optional/General comments	no	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sreedhara Ramesh Chandra
Department, University & Country	Amrita Vishwa Vidyapeetham, India