

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_97562
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Check-and-Balance on the Relationship between Financing Constraints and Investment Efficiency - A Case Study of the Software and IT Service Industry of Chinese Listed Companies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Reviewer comments	
<p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The title is too long. Kindly restricts the title within 20 words maximum.</p> <p>2. Rewrite the abstract. One should avoid numbering like 1, 2, 3 in the abstract section. Moreover, abstract should address all the points clearly i.e., the research statement, objectives and findings in brief.</p> <p>3. Motivation is missing.</p> <p>4. State the research questions properly.</p> <p>5. Research gaps are not properly derived from the previous literatures.</p> <p>6. State the objectives of the present research clearly.</p> <p>7. The author(s) have used name citations within the main text, but at last the references are arranged in square brackets accordingly to their appearance in the text. But when one is using name citations within the main text, they should arrange all the references in alphabetical order at last following the APA citation style. Kindly follow up with the journal rules and guidelines.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shankha Shubhra Goswami
Department, University & Country	Indira Gandhi Institute of Technology, India