

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_97618
Title of the Manuscript:	EXPLORING THE EFFECTS OF POST-HARVEST PROCESSING OF OKRA FRUITS ON THEIR NUTRITIONAL CHARACTERISTICS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Result of the study is very important since processed one can stored in longer period of time to be use during non- cropping season/months of the year. However, It was not mention the shelf life or how long the processed one can be stored and still safe for consumption. Also the preparation or cooking method for processed one could be included in the discussion.</p> <p>Title is Ok</p> <p>No comment</p> <p>I noticed that some of the references (number) were place at the beginning of the sentence so I suggest that is more proper to put after the sentences or phrases for uniformity.</p> <p>I observed that in the discussions, the significant interaction between the level of processing (Facto A) and level of storage methods (Factor B) was not discussed or mention if there is any.</p> <p>Where are the objectives of the research, I can't see or read any objective in undertaking the study since this is considered a scientific research</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	<p>The Paragraph: (In Red Font) is somewhat misleading please re-phrase</p> <p>The opinions of the respondents in relation to nutritional quality and microbial contamination on okra after processing show that, not only will processing help keep okra for a longer period of time but also the nutritional quality is maintained after processing</p> <p>This can be found before the Topic "Effect of processing on nutritional value of okra"</p>	
Optional/General comments	Just need a minor revision for clarity of the	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Arturo O. Manipon
Department, University & Country	Philippines