

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Archives of Current Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ACRI_97597
Title of the Manuscript:	An Evaluation of a Marginal Land's Geological Engineering Properties in Borikiri Sandfill, Port Harcourt Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalacri.com/index.php/ACRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The article presents all the scientific elements. The title is relevant. The summary is correct. The subsections are correct. The article is scientifically correct. The references are sufficient and important. The methodology needs to be explained point by point. The methodology needs to be explained point by point. It is important to use more than one soil classification system, such as the FAO and soil taxonomy. Many terms used in engineering and in this manuscript to point to soil type are used by pedology and geography to characterize only the morphology of the material. The results of the study showed that the soil profile consists of five stratigraphic sequences, with an upper organic silty layer followed by a sandy layer, a dark plastic layer, a dark silty clayey sand and a well-graded sand. This result highlights the need to incorporate systems such as FAO and soil taxonomy. The manuscript has important geographical support for the local population, but this has not been discussed.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	yes	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ailson de Lima Marques
Department, University & Country	Federal University of Paraiba, Brazil