

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Journal of Social Studies and Economics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SAJSSSE_97931
Title of the Manuscript:	The Square Theory of Economic Development
Type of the Article	Journal Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalsajsse.com/index.php/SAJSSE/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. This article is an attempt that challenges the minds of development and sustainable development researchers, but it is highly rewarding when the body of work is accomplished and strengthens the academic rigor of the material. If the researcher creates this article carefully in order to communicate his/her original thoughts and new results to both the scientific community and the general public, it will be an excellent value-added paper in the field of economic development. 2. The title properly represents the article as a whole, and it provides context and information, thus no revisions are required. 3. Overall, the abstract is clear, short, and well-written. Also, the keywords are enough and appropriate. 4. Structure of the manuscript <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • In a more in-depth argument, I feel that debating topics from the litterateur world would be valuable. This will enhance both the contribution and academic quality of the article. It would be helpful to the research if the author could include current and recent literature in the discussion. The review of related literature should be used to offer a solid theoretical basis or conceptual frameworks, well-defined concepts, and a strong flow of reasoning for the five aspects of the Square Theory of Economic Growth that have been identified. • As an example see the following statement extracted from the article. These are statement need to supported by the world of literature. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ "Access to reliable infrastructure is essential for businesses to operate effectively and efficiently, which can attract investment, create employment opportunities, and improve the standard of living for citizens." Page 4 ○ "However, many countries face significant challenges in developing infrastructure. The cost of building and maintaining infrastructure can be high, especially for low-income countries." Page 4 • If the author can defend and rationalize the statements, such as those quoting concepts from scholarly publications, the paper's academic rigor will increase. • One idea for improvement is to add a substantial number of in-text citations from scholarly work. 5. This is a theoretical article or can be called a concept paper, and a concept paper is a brief piece of writing that outlines the main points of an idea or concept. The goal of this article is to give an outline of economic development using the Square Theory of Economic Growth. Thus, the paper is scientifically correct and acceptable. 6. The references are insufficient, and the author could include more current and literature references, as I said previously. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The language is standard and adheres to academic writing guidelines.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The author did not conduct extensive literature analysis on the issue before presenting the Square Theory of Economic Development. As a result, several unclear remarks may be found throughout the text, reducing the work's academic worth. As a result, enhancing this feature is definitely advised in order to produce an excellent academic concept paper. 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	P J Kumarasinghe
Department, University & Country	Zhejiang Shuren University, China