

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_97858
Title of the Manuscript:	Semen Quality Among Men Seeking Infertility Treatment in Tamale, Ghana.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link: (<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The authors carry out an interesting population study, regarding male infertility in couples, configuring the type of anomaly, in the studied group. At the same time, it also carries out trend analysis, interesting for the scientific world, the study being able to form the basis of other interesting analyses.</p> <p>The title of the article is suggestive of the present material.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is eloquent, it clearly presents the objectives of the study, the methods used and the results obtained.</p> <p>The article strictly respects all the requirements of a descriptive population study, presenting and analyzing in detail the study group, the methods used and is supported by a consistent chapter of discussion of the results, through the lens of the studied literature, accompanied by statistical calculations and eloquent graphs..</p> <p>With certainty, the study carried out is scientifically correct, expressing the worrying trend of couple infertility in certain geographical areas.</p> <p>The bibliography is consistent with over 50 indexes, correctly chosen for the study topic.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The English used is correct, keywords are chosen correctly.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cirimbei Ciprian
Department, University & Country	Institute of Oncology, University of Medicine and Pharmacy, Romania