

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOB_97532
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Thyroid Stimulating Hormone and Free thyroxine among Diabetes Mellitus Patients at Shendi Locality, Sudan
Type of the Article	Original article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes, it tries to relate the effect of Thyroid hormone on DM</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>No, the methodology needs some more information</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>Yes</b>  <b>More than one-third of the references are more than 10 years</b>  <b>The Literature review in the introduction is scanty, with sweeping statements , not accompanied by relevant specific data.</b> <b>The methodology is not explicit enough</b> <b>The results section had some tables were the test used is not stated</b> <b>The discussion had literature review and methodology included.</b>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	It is with minor grammatical errors	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Some of the statements made: their meanings are not clear	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Maryam Shehu
Department, University & Country	Bingham University, Nigeria