

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_97888
Title of the Manuscript:	ECONOMIC STUDY OF RESOURCE MANAGEMENT WHITE POMFRET (Pampus Argentus) AND WHITE SNAPPER (Lates Calcarifer) IN CIREBON DISTRICT, WEST JAVA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>This manuscript is interesting and strongly supports the development of science related to capture fisheries policy</p> <p>The title of the article is in accordance with the contents of the article, only there are errors in writing international trade names for both types of fish</p> <p>The abstract is sufficient to represent the contents of the article as a whole, but a brief review of research recommendations should be added</p> <p>Already appropriate</p> <p>This manuscript is quite interesting and very scientific</p> <p>It is necessary to add the latest supporting references both in the form of research journals and the latest reference books to explore the discussion</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language quality is quite good	
Optional/General comments		
	It needs to be revised according to the notes from the reviewers in the manuscript	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Husain Latuconsina
Department, University & Country	University of Islam Malang, Indonesia