

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_98242
Title of the Manuscript:	SHORT-TERM COURSES IN ORGANIC AGRICULTURE FOR HOME GARDENS: A POTENTIAL APPROACH TO SECURING HOUSEHOLD FOOD SUPPLY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) 	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the scientific community as well for a common man to have awareness on Organic Agriculture.</p> <p>Title of the study is appropriate.</p> <p>Its compressive but missing the year of study which needs to be included.</p> <p>Sub sections particularly used at Methodology with numbers are not requires and can be merged as paragraph. Yes</p> <p>Many references viz., Rasanjai (2012), Odebode, Utsugi's (2012) and Barrameda (2017) are mentioned in the running text but missed at references.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Yes	
Optional/General comments	Year of study is missing which needs to be included in the Abstract. Red coloured content indicates addition or suggestion. Strike out content needs to be deleted.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Laxman Maharu
Department, University & Country	ICAR-National Academy of Agricultural Research Management, India