

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Food Science Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AFSJ_98041
Title of the Manuscript:	Physical Quality of Fast Sealing Dissolving Edible Films as Food Packaging Based Glucomannan and Gelatin
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalafsj.com/index.php/AFSJ/editorial-policy>

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment		Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments			
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	yes	<b>abstract</b> <b>author must not detect ( It is necessary to add strong, elastic and easily soluble material using gelatin to improve the properties of edible films. Edible films composed of hydrocolloids had hydrophilic properties which bind easily with water. The research to obtain edible film formulated glucomannan and gelatin with the best physical quality, fast sealing and fast dissolving). And (The research method was experimental, calculated with Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using Completely Randomized Design (CRD) and Duncan's Multiple Range Test (DMRT) if there were differences).</b>	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes		
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	No		
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	yes		
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	yes		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	yes		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments			
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Manuscript need to improve language		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Accept		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Waleed Zakaria Hassan Badawy</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Kafr Elshiekh University, Egypt</b>