

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Economics, Management and Trade
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JEMT_95798
Title of the Manuscript:	SURVIVAL STRATEGIES FOR NIGERIAN YOUTHS
Type of the Article	??

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljemt.com/index.php/JEMT/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	yes I suggest to be mentioned also a time period for study Not enough I don't know what kind of article/study the authors wanted to do Not enough, it is only theoretical They are not enough and neither recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, with minor grammar corrections	
Optional/General comments	The author/authors must mention what kind of article is, must read the comments on text. The article could be valuable if it contained some statistical data (per years, jobs, areas of the country, etc.) to be analyzed by comparison with other authors	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Cadar Mirela Emilia
Department, University & Country	University of Agricultural Sciences and Veterinary Medicine, Romania