

Original Research Article

Sustainable Agriculture and Livestock integrated farming systems for small and marginal farmers –

A case study of Kurnool District, Andhra Pradesh

Abstract:

Indian economy heavily depends on agriculture and livestock. Integration of livestock with crop provide scope for effective utilization of byproducts which assures the profitability of the farming system. Integrated farming system approach is required to enhance the living standards of small and marginal farmers. A study was conducted on 'Sustainable livelihoods for small and marginal farmer through agriculture and livestock activities – A study of farming systems in Kurnool district' with an objective to identify profitable and sustainable farming systems under major farming situations of Kurnool district. The results revealed that the farming systems with one or more livestock components were found profitable. The economic sustainability of the farming systems was evolved through Sustainability Value Index (SVI). 3 out of 5 farming systems in the rainfed black soils were found sustainable. But only one farming system in rainfed red soils was found sustainable and none of the farming systems in irrigated black soils were found sustainable. The results of the study are useful for small and marginal farmers to adopt the suitable farming system.

Keywords: Integrated farming system, Sustainable livelihood, small and marginal farmers, sustainable value index

Introduction:

Indian economy is heavily depending on agriculture and livestock. 85% of the total farming relied on small and marginal farmers having 44% of operational land. The operational farm holding in India is declining and over 85 million out of 115 million are below the size of 1 ha. The decrease in per capita availability of land in our country is due to increased population [1]

Integration of crop and livestock is very much required for small and marginal farmer to increase productivity, profitability, employment generation, food and nutritional security and agricultural sustainability [2]. Integrated farming system is the tool for sustainable agriculture in which the

byproducts of one system become input for other. Livestock play a key role not only as food and also useful for crops as manure and draught power [3] The living standards of the small and marginal farmers can be enhanced by efficient utilization of different enterprises like dairy, fish, poultry and others [4]

Kurnool district of Andhra Pradesh is located in scarce rainfall zone with 630mm annual rainfall. The total cultivable land is 10.2 lakh ha in which majority are black soils (7.66 lakh ha) and red soils (2.05 lakh ha). Marginal holdings constitute 40% and small farmers have 28% of the total land holdings in the district. Kurnool district is having livestock population consisting 4.09 lakh cattle (8.9%), 4.1 lakh buffaloes (6.4%), 15.04 lakh sheep (11.11%), 5.05 lakh goats (11.13%) and 12.01 lakh poultry (1.47%).

Several studies have suggested sustainable farming systems for different agroclimatic zones of Andhra Pradesh [5] [6]. Since data on sustainable crop and livestock farming systems is not available for Kurnool district, the present study was conducted on “Sustainable livelihoods for small and marginal farmers through agriculture and livestock activities – A study on farming systems in Kurnool district” with the following objectives

- To identify major agriculture and livestock farming systems in major farming situations of Kurnool district.
- To ~~analyse~~ analyze the economics of the farming systems for profitability
- To assess the economic sustainability of the farming systems under major farming situations of Kurnool district

Materials and methods:

Selection of Villages and respondents

Three major farming situations viz. Rainfed Black Soils, Rainfed Red Soils and Irrigated Black soils were selected among the 12 farming systems for the study. Three villages from each farming situation covering 9 villages and 30 farmers from each village consisting of two or more agriculture and livestock activities were selected randomly for this study. Data was collected from a total of 270 respondents representing three major situations

Tools of data collection:

A semi-structured schedule was designed to collect the required information from the

sample regarding their socio-economic profile, factors involved in adoption of integrated farming systems, different components and their management, economic indicators of crops and livestock, sustainability indicators and problems involved in farming systems.

Research Design and Statistical analysis:

‘Ex-post facto’ design was used for this study. Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR) was calculated for each farming system to assess the profitability.

Benefit Cost Ratio (BCR):

To know the profitability of the farming systems, Benefit Cost Ratio was calculated for each farming system with the following formula

$$BCR = \text{Gross Income} / \text{Cost of production}$$

Sustainability value index (SVI):

The sustainability Value Index was calculated to know the economic sustainability of the prevailing farming systems with the following formula (Kiresuretal 2010)

$$SVI = \frac{ANI - (1.96 \times SD)}{MNI}$$

Where

SVI = Sustainability Value Index

ANI

= Average Net Income

MNI = Maximum Net Income

SD = Standard Deviation

CV = Coefficient of variation

Results and discussion:

Identification of farming systems

Existing farming systems with crop and livestock (dairy, sheep and poultry) combinations were identified in the study area of major farming situations of Kurnool district and selected major farming systems in which 10 or more farmers were practicing (table 1) **Major farming systems in rainfed black soils:**

The major farming systems identified in the rainfed black soil of Kurnool district were Crops + Dairy + Sheep & Goat + Poultry (FS-IV: 24 No), followed by Crops alone (FS-I: 19 No), Crops + Dairy (FS-II: 16 No), Crops + Dairy + Sheep & Goat (FS-IV: 12 No) and Crops + Dairy + Poultry (FS-III: 10 No).

Major farming systems in rainfed red soils:

The major farming systems identified in the rainfed red soils of Kurnool district were Crops + Dairy (FS-II: 26 No) followed by Crops alone (FS-I: 20 No), Crops + Dairy +

Poultry(FS-III:17No),Crops+Dairy+Sheep&Goat+Poultry(FS-IV:12No)andCrops +Dairy+Sheep&Goat(FS-IV:10No).

Major farmingsystems in irrigated black soils:

The major farmingsystems identified in the irrigated black soils of Kurnool district were Crops + Dairy (FS-II: 32 No) followed by Crops alone (FS-I:23 No), Crops + Dairy +Poultry(FS-III:14No)andCrops+Dairy+Sheep&Goat+Poultry(FS-IV:13No).

Table 1 Farmingsystems practiced by the samplerespondents in the study area

<u>S.No</u>	Farmingsystems	Rainfed Blacks oils N=90	Rainfed Red Soils N=90	Irrigated Black soils N=90
1	Crops	19(21.1)	20(22.2)	23(25.6)
2	Crops+Dairy	16(17.8)	26(28.9)	32(35.6)
3	Crop+Dairy+Poultry	10(11.1)	17(18.9)	14(15.6)
4	Crop+Dairy+Sheep&Goat	12(13.3)	10(11.1)	3(3.3)
5	Crop+Dairy+S&G+Poultry	24(26.7)	12(13.3)	13(14.4)
6	Crop+Poultry	4(4.4)	1(1.1)	3(3.3)
7	Crop+Sheep+Poultry	5(5.6)	2(2.2)	2(2.2)
8	Crop+S&G	0	2(2.2)	0
	Total	90(100)	90(100)	90(100)

Comparative economic analysis of major farmingsystems:

The profitability of the farming systems in rainfed black soils was observed in FS-V(1.91)followedbyFS-IV(1.90),FS-III(1.71),FS-II(1.70)andFS-I(1.50).Thelowestprofitability was observed in the farming system involved the crops only, whereas inclusion of the livestock components greatly influenced the profitability of the farmingsystem.

Similarly, among the major farming systems in rainfed red soils, highest profitability was observed in FS-II (1.82) followed by FS-III (1.71), FS-V (1.56), FS-IV (1.55) and FS-I (1.25). unlike in the rainfed black soils, the increase in the livestock components had no influence in the profitability of the farming system due to increase in the cost of production as the crop residues of pulses were not available in sufficient quantities to feed the livestock. Whereas the highest profitability in the farming system involved the dairy as only component is due to effective resource use efficiency through grazing.

Among the four major farming systems in irrigated black soils, highest profitability was observed in FS-V (1.84) involved the livestock components viz. dairy, sheep and poultry along with crops followed by FS-II(1.71),FS-III(1.26)andFS-I(1.21).The abundant crop

residues to feed the livestock in the irrigated black soils had greatly influenced the profitability.

The results are in accordance with *Reddy S B et al 2021* [6] identified crop + dairy and crop + dairy + horticulture are sustainable integrated farming systems for Anantapur district. Similarly, *Rao S H et al 2019* [5] have also identified profitable farming systems for Vijayanagara, Vishakhapatnam and Srikakulam district. Higher profitability index as well as a rate of returns was high in horticulture – livestock – fish farming in Banda district of Uttar Pradesh (*Mir MS et al 2022*) [4]. The highest income was observed in Cattle + Crop farming systems in Odisha (*Kumari and Chouhan 2021*) [7]

Economic sustainability of major farming systems:

To measure the sustainability of the major farming systems in Kurnool district, Sustainability Value Index (SVI) was calculated and presented in table 3.

Rainfed Black Soils:

From data given in table 3 indicate that among the major farming systems, the highest positive SVI was observed in FS-III (0.287) followed by FS-V (0.163) and FS-IV (0.171), whereas negative SVI was observed in FS-I (-0.118) and FS-II (0.016). The data clearly indicated that FS-III (Crops + Dairy + Poultry), FS-IV (Crops + Dairy + Sheep) and FS-V (Crops + Dairy + Sheep + Poultry) were found economically sustainable whereas the FS-I (Crops only) and FS-II (Crops + Dairy) were observed negative.

Increase in the number of components have contributed in income for sustainability of the farming systems in the farming situation of rainfed black soils.

Rainfed red soils:

It is evident from the table 3 consisting the sustainability value indices of major farming systems under rainfed red soils that the positive and highest SVI was observed only in FS-V (0.204) and negative SVI was observed in FS-I (-0.192), FS-II (-0.07), FS-III (-0.196) and FS-IV (-0.085). The data clearly indicated that the farming system consisting of crops + Dairy + Sheep + Poultry was found economically sustainable whereas the other farming systems FS-I (Crops only), FS-II (Crops + Dairy), FS-III (Crops + Dairy + Poultry) and FS-IV (Crops + Dairy + Sheep) were found economically not sustainable. The data indicated that the vagaries in the rains, unavailability of feed and fodder to the livestock and low production in crops and livestock influenced the income stability.

Table 2. Comparative economics of the major farming systems in the study area

S.No	Farming system	Total variable costs	Total fixed cost	Total cost	Gross income	Net Returns	Net returns over	BCR
Rainfed Black Soils								
1	FS-I	₹69,048.00	₹24,521.00	₹93,569.00	₹1,40,419.00	₹46,850.00	₹71,371.00	1.5
2	FS-II	₹1,58,114.00	₹36,750.00	₹1,94,864.00	₹3,29,537.00	₹1,34,673.00	₹1,71,423.00	1.7
3	FS-III	₹1,25,945.00	₹28,140.00	₹1,54,085.00	₹2,64,000.00	₹1,09,915.00	₹1,38,055.00	1.71
4	FS-IV	₹2,14,896.00	₹22,250.00	₹2,37,146.00	₹4,50,495.00	₹2,13,349.00	₹2,35,599.00	1.9
5	FS-V	₹1,80,058.00	₹29,750.00	₹2,09,808.00	₹4,01,518.00	₹1,91,710.00	₹2,21,460.00	1.91
Rainfed Red Soils								
1	FS-I	₹70,251.00	₹30,300.00	₹1,00,551.00	₹1,26,053.00	₹25,502.00	₹55,802.00	1.25
2	FS-II	₹1,03,855.00	₹30,023.00	₹1,33,878.00	₹2,43,942.00	₹1,10,064.00	₹1,40,087.00	1.82
3	FS-III	₹1,09,445.00	₹29,294.00	₹1,38,739.00	₹2,37,904.00	₹99,165.00	₹1,28,459.00	1.71
4	FS-IV	₹1,96,030.00	₹32,160.00	₹2,28,190.00	₹3,54,820.00	₹1,26,630.00	₹1,58,790.00	1.55
5	FS-V	₹2,18,029.00	₹37,750.00	₹2,55,779.00	₹3,99,830.00	₹1,44,051.00	₹1,81,801.00	1.56
Irrigated Black Soils								
1	FS-I	₹2,05,873.00	₹60,521.00	₹2,66,394.00	₹3,22,550.00	₹56,156.00	₹1,16,677.00	1.21
2	FS-II	₹2,38,962.00	₹66,993.80	₹2,84,862.00	₹4,88,348.00	₹2,03,486.00	₹2,49,386.00	1.71
3	FS-III	₹3,65,706.00	₹1,54,130.00	₹5,19,836.00	₹6,54,220.00	₹1,34,384.00	₹2,88,514.00	1.26
4	FS-V	₹2,31,628.00	₹56,511.80	₹2,88,140.00	₹5,31,335.00	₹2,43,195.00	₹2,99,707.00	1.84

Irrigated blacksoils:

The data presented in table 3 clearly indicated that negative SVI was observed in all farming systems viz FS-I (-0.181), FS-II (-0.278), FS-III (0.088) and FS-IV (-0.051). Among the major farming systems negative and lowest SVI was observed in FS-II (Crops + Dairy) followed by FS-I (crops only), FS-III (Crops + Dairy + Poultry), and FS-V (Crops + Dairy + Sheep + Poultry). The high cost of inputs in crop production and livestock production and fluctuations in the yield and the sale price were the major reasons for the negative sustainability of the farming systems in the irrigated black soils. Similar to the rainfed red soils, increase in the components have influence on the economic sustainability of the farming system.

Table 3 Economic sustainability indices of major farming systems in Kurnool district

Farming system	Maximum Net Income	Average Net Income	SD	CV(%)	Sustainability Value Index
Rainfed Black Soils					
FS-I	169000	71372	46576	65	-0.118
FS-II	398000	201764	106095	53	-0.016
FS-III	200600	142901	43495	30	0.287
FS-IV	368800	225703	82986	37	0.171
FS-V	384150	218159	79391	36	0.163
Rainfed Red Soils					
FS-I	203000	55409	48107	87	-0.192
FS-II	387500	151800	91237	60	-0.070
FS-III	298400	188467	65117	79	-0.196
FS-IV	399700	168390	103189	61	-0.085
FS-V	365700	132547	104125	35	0.204
Irrigated Black Soils					
FS-I	543200	117007	109856	94	-0.181
FS-II	639700	258836	153916	59	-0.278
FS-III	560250	290193	173308	60	-0.088
FS-V	847490	304337	177403	58	-0.051

Kiresure et al 2010 [8] reported the sustainability value index was higher for the farmingsystem with the combination of horticultural crops in Karnataka. Chouhan et al 2022 [9] reported that farmers had medium (71.6%) of livelihood security which 55.7% of the economic security to the total livelihood security if the farmers in NEH regions. Boussaada et al 2022 [10] have reported the economic sustainability of sheep farming systems in eastern steppe ecosystem of Algeria.

Conclusions:

The major farming systems consisting of one or more livestock components found profitable than the crops alone. The sustainability of the farming systems under rainfed black were found positive, whereas only one farming system in the rainfed red soils was found positive. But all the farming systems in the irrigated black soils were found to be negative. The study results are useful for small and marginal farmers to adopt suitable farming system to get sustainable income.

References:

1. Manjunatha, S.B., Shivmurthy, D., Satyareddi, A.S., Nagraj, M. V and Basavesha, K.N. Integrated farming system- [ANA](#) holistic approach: A review. Research and Reviews: Journal of Agriculture and Allied Sciences. 2014 3(4):30-38.
2. Monalisha Panda, Souvik Nandi, Upasana Sahoo and Masina Sairam.. Integrated farming system for agriculture sustainability. Indian Journal of Natural Sciences, 2022 Vol.13(71):41310– 41315
3. Julian Witjaksono, Suharyanto, Rita Indrasti. Developing Integrated Crop-Livestock Farming System as a Strategy for Green Growth in Low Income Countries: A Brief Review. Animal and Veterinary Sciences. Vol.6, No.1, 2018, pp.12-16.
4. Mohd Salim Mir, Nasir Bashir Naikoo, Zakir Amin, Tauseef A. Bhat, Aijaz Nazir, Raihana Habib Kanth, Parmeet Singh, Waseem Raja, Lal Singh, Shayista Fayaz, Tanveer A. Ahngar, Tsultim Palmo and Ummaisa Rehman. Integrated Farming System: A tool for doubling farmer's income. Journal of Experimental Agriculture International 2022. 44(3): 47-56, 2022; Article no. JEAI.84596
5. Srinivasa Rao H, Subba Rao D V, Radha Y, Srinivasa Rao V and Rambabu P. Profitability of major farming systems in north coastal zone of Andhra Pradesh. International Journal of Agriculture Sciences, 2019 Volume 11, Issue 11, pp.-8500-8500.
6. Sahadeva Reddy B, Srinivasa Rao MM V and Padmalatha Y. Integrated farming system models. Indian Farming 2021 71(11):24–27; November 2021:24-27
7. Tulika Kumari and Chauhan A K. Characterization of livestock – based farming systems in tribal areas of odisha. Indian Journal of Agronomy 66 (1): 81-86 (March 2021)
8. Kiresur VR, Gaddi GM, Gummalamath KC, Prashant Kumar Hiremath and Kulkarni V S

2010. Economic analysis of sustainability of farming systems in hilly zone of Karnataka. *Ind. Jour. Agril. Mktg.*, 24(1):2010pp:6-19
9. Jitendra Kumar Chauhan, B.S Meena, H.R. Meena, Champak Bhakat, A.D Upadhyay, Biswajit Lahiri, Prasenjit Pal, M.B. Tengli, Sachin Kumar, Abhay Kumar Chandegara and Khawabi Koreti. Assessment of livelihood security and diversification of tribal dairy farmers in NEH region of India. *Indian Res. J. Ext. Edu.* 22 (3), July-September, 2022 182-187 2022
10. Boussaada D and Yerou H 2022. Sustainability's indicators of sheep farming systems in the eastern steppe ecosystem of Algeria. *Genet. Biodiv. J.*, 6(1):16-24