

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_98035
Title of the Manuscript:	COVID-19 Knowledge and Perception of Care Among Diabetes Mellitus Patients amid the Pandemic in Nigeria: A Cross-Sectional Survey
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. <b>This manuscript important for scientific community</b>  2. <b>The title of the article is suitable and compatible with the contents</b>  3. <b>The abstract of the article inclusive</b>  4. <b>The subsections and structure of the manuscript is suitable</b>  5. <b>Yes</b>  6. <b>The references are sufficient but required some new references (2021,2022,2023)</b>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	1. <b>Yes, acceptable</b>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> <b>Yes, the ethical issues in this manuscript:</b> <b>All authors hereby declare that the study was examined and approved by the</b>  <b>institutional ethics committee with protocol number ISTH/HREC/20202005/071</b> <b>and</b>  <b>therefore has been performed following the ethical standards laid down in the</b> <b>1964</b>  <b>Declaration of Helsinki.</b>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Ghufran Mohammed Hussein Asal</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Pharmacy, AL-Zahraa University for Women, Iraq</b>