

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_JAMMR_97415 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Usage of Tofacitinib as a Steroid-Sparing therapy in Pulmonary and Cutaneous Sarcoidosis |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments) | <p>The manuscript is important for scientific community</p> <p>The topic is suitable for the article</p> <p>The abstract should be written as it reflects as excerpts of the manuscript that was copied and pasted at odds giving a disjointed appearance and read The methodology of the manuscript is not clear. Also, authors should state exclusion and inclusion criteria for selection of subjects for the study. The manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent</p> | |
| Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | There is a need for the entire manuscript to be grammatically edited | |
| Optional/General comments | The authors should change the topic "Patient and Method" should be edited with patients a sub topic under methodology. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|--|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | Martha Orendu Oche Attah |
| Department, University & Country | Cyprus International University, Cyprus |