

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_98022
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Plant growth regulators and Zinc on growth and yield of Baby corn (Zea mays. L)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>The topic chosen by the researcher is relevant to effects of Zinc and Plant growth nutrient. It was majorly focused on crop growth and Development.</p> <p>In this paper need to add more details in precious manner</p> <p>Paper needs to be revised thoroughly.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The results should be written with discussion part also with empirical references. Common spelling mistakes needs to be taken care while writing the paper. Reference section should be modified according to the needs of journal.	
Optional/General comments	It is good topic to be published but needs careful presentation of the results with empirical references and apt conclusion. Paper needs to be revised thoroughly.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Thurkkaivel T
Department, University & Country	India