

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_97864</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Effect of Boron and Plant Growth Regulators on Growth and Yield of Zaid Cowpea (Vigna unguiculata L.)</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>It is important for the crops quality and in turn for human health because it deals with micronutrients the great issue in the soils of arid and semi- arid regions. The micronutrients deficit in the soil is the reason of hidden hunger in the crops and food quality that in turn threat the human health.</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>yes</p> <p>it is good</p> <p>I had some remarks I put on the article pdf and within the general comments below</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	yes	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>1- On farm experiments should be repeated at least 2 years.</p> <p>2- Scientific names or any other terms first must be written in broad and later the abbreviations could be used, e.g. Plant growth regulators (PGR) , Naphthalene Acetic Acid (NAA).</p> <p>3- It should be better if you mention all cultivation processes in detail such as No of seeds per area, no. of plants, cultivation method, irrigation, harvesting etc.</p> <p>4- Time of foliar application. Or the stage of plant age.</p> <p>5- Many authors were mentioned in the article's body but not found or written within the list of reference and vice versa.</p> <p>6- Many remarks and recommendations were indicated on the article PDF , it was highlighted as pub up tool or note tool.</p> <p>7- All references were not written at the same context. It must be unified according to the journal instructions.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Shukri I. Rekani</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Iraq</b>