

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_97326
Title of the Manuscript:	Response of Plant Growth Regulators on Vegetative Growth, Flowering and Flower Quality of Chrysanthemum at Central India
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	YES THE MANUSCRIPT HAS POTENTIAL FOR SCIENTIFIC COMMUNITY EXPLORATION IMPROVEMENT IN GROWTH AND FLOWERING QUALITY OF CHRYSANTHEMUM BY APPLICATION OF GROWTH REGULATORS IN CENTRAL INDIA ABSTRACT IS COMPREHENSIVELY WRITTEN. SOME MINOR MODIFICATIONS NEED TO BE DONE APPROPRIATELY WRITTEN YES YES	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	NEED A LITTLE IMPROVEMENT	
Optional/General comments	DMRT OF ALL DATA NEEDS TO BE DONE FOR APPROPRIATE COMPARISON YIELD DATA OF CHRYSANTHEMUM NEED TO BE GIVEN SOME REASONS BEHIND IMPROVEMENT IN QUALITY AND GROWTH NEEDS TO BE GIVEN IN DISCUSSION SECTION	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Satyabrata Mangaraj
Department, University & Country	OUAT, India