

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_97714
Title of the Manuscript:	Assessment of Community Preparedness in Cardio Pulmonary Emergencies in Selected Cities in the Philippines
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Yes. Definitely. Very important from social point of view also.	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Yes, it is.	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Yes, it is.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Yes, it is.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Yes, it is.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, they are.	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, it is.	
Optional/General comments	An interesting article on a medically and socially important as well as relevant topic. Minor revisions have been suggested. Some refinement in the overall method of writing will further improve the article.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sukhmeet Minhas
Department, University & Country	Armed Forces Medical College, India