

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Orthopaedic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJORR_96701
Title of the Manuscript:	The Prevalence of Postoperative Wound Infection in Orthopedic Surgery at Bangabandhu Sheikh Mujib Medical College (BSMMC), Faridpur, Bangladesh.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajorr.com/index.php/AJORR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p><b>Not so. Since limitation of the study be included in the abstract as well as additional theoretical underpinnings be considered to support the study.</b></p> <p><b>Not so. Since there are sections that need to be place appropriately example, the limitation of the study be placed along introduction and perhaps tables for results be placed in a manner that discussions follow each table to ensure easy understanding of the reader. Yes. Perhaps it just need certain proper placement of information per section.</b></p> <p>Yes.</p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	Yes. But certain grammatical error needs to be corrected.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Emily M. Cataluna
Department, University & Country	University of San Agustin, Philippines