

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97892
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FREE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL POLICY IN GHANA: FIVE YEARS ON; MAJOR BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. The manuscript important for scientific community. 2. Title of the article suitable. 3. Should add more intro as the abstract. And concise presentation. 4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript into introduction should be concise. Literature review should analyze and synthesize theoretical concepts to concisely and comprehensively. Improve the conclusion to concise and comprehensive an overview of the study. 5. Manuscript is scientifically correct. 6. The references sufficient and recent 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	Language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Optional comment as "Pornchai Jedaman, Khajornsak Buaraphan, Jirutthitikan Pimvichai, Chokchai Yuenyong, and Songsak Jeerasombat. (2019). Educational management in transition of science: Policies and strategic leaders for sustainable education 4.0 in the 21st century science classroom. AIP Conference Proceedings 2081, 030022; doi.org/10.1063/1.5094020."</p> <p>Should add more intro as the abstract. And concise presentation. The introduction should be concise. The literature review should analyze and synthesize theoretical concepts to concisely and comprehensively. Improve the conclusion to concise and comprehensive an overview of the study.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Pornchai Jedaman
Department, University & Country	Rajabath Mahasarakham University, Thailand