

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97892
Title of the Manuscript:	IMPLEMENTATION OF THE FREE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL POLICY IN GHANA: FIVE YEARS ON; MAJOR BENEFITS AND CHALLENGES.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes. This manuscript is very much useful to the scientific community. The research approach what the scholar has adopted also highly useful for the social scientists world wide.</p> <p>Yes. This title is absolutely suitable for this article.</p> <p>Yes. The abstract comprehensively explained the article in brief.</p> <p>The sub sections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate and well designs.</p> <p>Yes. It is. The references used in this article are sufficient and recent. No need of any additional references is required for this article.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The quality of the language used for this article suitable and scholarly communication is good.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Chandra Shekhar Ghanta
Department, University & Country	Telangana University, India