

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Environment & Ecology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEE_97404
Title of the Manuscript:	Gigatonne Carbon Dioxide Removal Can Reverse Global Heating Trend
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajee.com/index.php/AJEE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<b>Yes</b> <b>Yes</b> <b>Yes but should be revised based on the following reasons:</b> <b>The abstract does not provide any information about what the implications of this research are. The abstract does not provide any information about potential solutions to the problem of global warming.</b>  <b>No.</b> i. The figures are of low quality and are not referenced ii. Irregular format, some sentences are underlined, some bolded , some in italic, this is quite unscientific. iii. Page 9 (...The question is often asked by the public if CO2 is going to level out or 'peak' soon? The 9th UN Emissions Gap Report (ref. 3)). The question mark should be removed, and what does ref. 3 means?	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Okay	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Informative	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	Adefarati Oloruntoba
Department, University & Country	China University of Petroleum, China