

**Review Form 1.7**

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Economics, Business and Accounting
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJEBA_97916
Title of the Manuscript:	Measuring Female Leadership Competencies of SMEs
Type of the Article	Case study

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajebo.com/index.php/AJEBA/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. “Measuring Female Leadership Competencies of SMEs” –this topic is very innovative and important for scientific community.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is also suitable in Batu City, Java, Indonesia prospective.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and but not perfectly organized. But need some grammatical correction.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate and perfectly organized.</p> <p>5. I think the manuscript is scientifically correct. This study used a sample of 100 women entrepreneurs in Batu City using the Slovin technique. Using the Slovin formula is intended because, in sampling, the number must be representative so that the research results can be generalized. Need an extra paragraph for total findings of the research paper. Please justify.</p> <p>6. The number of references is 21 which is sufficient for any journal paper. Please add some more references if required.</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION comments</b></p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	-Need to check grammatical and sentence structure before the final submission	
<p><b>Optional/General comments</b></p>	<p>-Summary of all findings, results and conclusion part are not clear enough for submitting this paper. Please rewrite before final submission.</p> <p>-Add a section named summary of all findings if possible. If not explain and justify</p> <p>-What is the findings from all literature review? Explain and Justify.</p> <p>- Please rewrite the keywords. If not, justify. Keywords should be five to seven words.</p> <p><b>Keywords: Female Leadership Competencies; Reliability, SMEs; Validity.</b></p> <p><b>Please add Batu City, Java, Indonesia. If not, justify and Explain.</b></p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Albina Amin</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Bangladesh University of Professionals, Bangladesh</b>