

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_97185
Title of the Manuscript:	Composition of Bioactive Carotenoid, Flavonoid, and Terpene compounds in fruits
Type of the Article	Review

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1- Yes, this review discusses the significance of various fruits as a major source of phytochemicals as bioactive substances, which are being researched in clinical trials to develop drugs to treat a variety of human ailments.</p> <p>2- Yes, it is.</p> <p>3- Yes, it is.</p> <p>4- Yes, it is.</p> <p>5- Yes, it is.</p> <p>6- Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>1- Yes, it is.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I suggest this article to be a brief review not review. So every review word in the manuscript should be replaced with brief review. Also it should be added to the title of the manuscript. - The doi of the references should be added and the reference part should be rewritten as 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mona Samir Hashem
Department, University & Country	National Research Centre, Egypt