

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	South Asian Research Journal of Natural Products
Manuscript Number:	Ms_SARJNP_97899
Title of the Manuscript:	Phytochemical characterization and acute toxicity investigation of the hydroethanolic leaf extract of <i>Dacryodes edulis</i> on Wistar rat models
Type of the Article	Original

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalsarjnp.com/index.php/SARJNP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Manuscript is very important in field of qualitative, quantitative phytochemical assessment of <i>Dacryodes edulis</i>, and to investigate the acute toxicity of plant extract "in vivo".2. The title of the article is quite appropriate.3. The article abstract is absolutely comprehensive4 The subsections and structure are logically.5. In my opinion, it is interesting idea.6. The references are right	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The article language is clear and understanding	
Optional/General comments	Find the attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Olena Grechana
Department, University & Country	Zaporizhzhya State Medical University, Ukraine