

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98003
Title of the Manuscript:	Quantitative Spectrophotometric analysis of Fluoride content in dentifrice.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. Yes.</p> <p>2. Alternative title most appropriate is "Spectrophotometric Analysis of Variations in the Fluoride Content of Dentifrice: A Quantitative Study"</p> <p>3. Abstract is not comprehensive: It needs to be revised. Abstract is written very poorly. It should be written in past-tense not in future tense i.e will should not be used since the study is completed. Moreover, in abstract there are no results and conclusions are presented in the abstract. Abstract needs to be revised completely.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript needs to be revised. Introduction should include the following information Background information with brief literature review Need for the study Intended benefits of the study Hypothesis or research question Aim and objectives of the study. Materials and method section should include the following: Ethical approval Materials utilized in the study i.e different kinds of tooth pastes Study design Sample selection, size and technique of obtaining it. Variables studied Measurement of the fluoride content Statistical analysis Results should be rewritten to include all the Descriptive and inferential statistics.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English revision is must.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mohammad Abdul Baseer
Department, University & Country	Riyadh Elm University, Saudi Arabia