

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Engineering Research and Reports</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JERR_97769</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Model for Predicting Strength Reduction Factors for Concrete that utilizes Palm Kernel Shells and Waste Automobile Tires as Aggregates</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljerr.com/index.php/JERR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes. The manuscript is important for scientific community.  Yes.  No. Please state the finding results in percentages and write a brief discussion to support your results. State also the conclusion. Yes.  No. The discussion is insufficient and needs to elaborate more.  No. The following studies shall be added and referenced. - <a href="https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1092/1/012063">https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1092/1/012063</a> - <a href="https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1092/1/012063">https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1092/1/012063</a>	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	(1) Please state the finding results in percentages and write a brief discussion to support your results in abstract. State also the conclusion. (2) Compared with previous research, what is the innovation of the author's experimental content in the manuscript ? It is suggested to add manuscript innovation and significance of this research in introduction. (3) The discussion is not incisive. Please add and elaborate more in discussion section. (6) Add recommendations for future research after conclusion section.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Solahuddin Bin Azuwa</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universiti Malaysia Pahang, Malaysia</b>