

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Journal of Experimental Agriculture International</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_JEAI_97520</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MAINTAINING AND IMPROVING PEAS (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) CV. MASTER PEA (LOCAL CULTIVAR) USING UPOV DESCRIPTOR AND MULTIVARIATE ANALYSIS IN THE VEGETABLE SEED PRODUCTION FIELD</b>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journaljeai.com/index.php/JEAI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  <b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  <b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  <b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  <b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  <b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  <b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	<i>The article is important for scientific community.</i>  <i>The title of the article is suitable but requires revision to read "Maintaining and improving Peas (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) cv. Master Pea (Local Cultivar) as Vegetable Seed for Field Production"</i>  <i>The abstract is comprehensive.</i>  <i>The subsections are appropriate but need to add subsections on SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS.</i>  <i>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</i>  <i>References are sufficient and recent.</i>  <i>Need to revise the title of this manuscript. Also provide subsections on SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS and RECOMMENDATIONS</i>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments <b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	<i>The English used is suitable.</i>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	<i>provided the suggested comments are attended to and complied.</i>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Renato L. Lapitan</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Institute of Renewable Natural Resources, University of the Philippines, Philippines</b>