

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Research Journal of Oncology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IRJO_97819
Title of the Manuscript:	Cancer Moonshot to Bring Hope for Desperate Cancer Patients
Type of the Article	Commentary

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalirjo.com/index.php/IRJO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Suitable Yes Appropriate Yes Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Check for quality of English throughout the text.	
Optional/General comments	1. Write the full form of CDA while writing for the first time in the text. 2. If possible, include the global statistics of cancer in the form diagram. 3. In page 4, it would be better to write the second paragraph in the form of scientific communication rather than normal writing. 4. In introduction, write first two lines highlighting the current scenario of cancer. 5. Conclusion should be appropriate. Kindly revise.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	C. Haranath
Department, University & Country	Jawaharlal Technological University, India