

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of TROPICAL DISEASE & Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJTDH_96186
Title of the Manuscript:	Nutritional, phytochemicals, GC-MS and antibacterial activities of aqueous red Onion ( <i>Allium cepa</i> ) extract against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> and <i>Escherichia coli</i>
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijtdh.com/index.php/IJTDH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b> 4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b> 5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b> 6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	1. The manuscript is considered of medium importance from the scientific point of view, as it may add some important new conclusions, but as an experiment it cannot be considered a new addition. 2. Yes, the title is suitable 3. The abstract is poor compared to the procedures and facts included in the manuscript 4. Standardization of measurements of images and shapes 5. Yes 6. yes	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	The manuscript contains a lot of grammatical errors, I suggest using a grammar correction program	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	There are some issues that it is important for the researcher to include The researcher did not address the type of fertilizer used in the cultivation of red onions, and whether it affects the results, in addition to the type of cultivation in glass houses or open lands, as well as the nature of irrigation, industrial or natural.	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ali Talib Mohammed
Department, University & Country	University of Baghdad, Iraq