

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97861
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of hydrogel and different sowing methods on Aerobic Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	<p>Yes, it is important for scientific community. More so, rice is a staple food all over the world and its production could be enhanced for optimum food security.</p> <p>The title is suitable although I amended it in the manuscript. The abstract need to be revised. Specific corrections have been made in the manuscript. The conclusion was also not written.</p> <p>The subsections are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct although some revisions are to be made. Suggestions have been highlighted.</p> <p>The references are sufficient.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is to be revised as punctuation marks, grammatical errors and omission of some definite nouns, incomplete sentences are obvious.	
Optional/General comments	It is a good scientific research work if all the corrections are made.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Ojoawo Olubunmi Titilayo
Department, University & Country	Ladoke Akintola University of Technology, Nigeria