

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Plant & Soil Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJPSS_97401
Title of the Manuscript:	AUTOMATION AND DIGITIZATION IN THE SEED SECTOR: OFFLINE TO ONLINE
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijpss.com/index.php/IJPSS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This manuscript is very important for society. Deep learning plays a very important role in making decisions. the title of the article is appropriate incomplete abstract, in the abstract complete with purpose, materials and methods, results and conclusions add discussion yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct add references min 25 journals	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	the language/English quality of the article is suitable for scientific communication	
Optional/General comments	prove that the method you proposed is successful by comparing data before using IoT with already using IoT	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anak Agung Ngurah Gunawan
Department, University & Country	Universitas Udayana, Indonesia