

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_97814</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Oxygen Demand and Blazes in emerging COVID Hospitals in a developing country; India</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original article</b>

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></li> </ol>	<p><b>Yes</b> The title can be made more clear such as <b>Is Medical Oxygen the cause blazes in hospital: A study</b> It seems that the author has tried to write a book chapter instead of original article. Theoretical material like the tables no. 5=8 can be consized appropriately. This will help in giving a brief picture of the fires and also help to shorten the number of words in the article which have far exceeded their limit. Many sentences are not framed appropriately such as the first sentence, which seems inadequate, rather confusing. "Pure Oxygen proved a palliative or lifesaving drug causing innumerable deaths due to its inaccessibility against SARS-COVID-19." How is oxygen a palliative drug causing inumemrable deaths?? These type of sentences are plenty in the article which the author has mentioned. They should be rechecked and reframed. Also, Brands such a MOX should be avoided. Yes, but the material and needs to reframe the article to give clarity. The part of oxygen manifold infrastructure does not have much correlation to the aims and objectives. This part should be reduced. <b>Yes</b></p>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	The matter needs to be revised as per an article. The linkage between the topics should be relevant. Many points such as pertaining to infrastructure, demand of oxygen, less supply but fire caused due to excess presence doesnot correlate. Kindly reframe and rewrite.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Namrata Makkar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>All India Institute of Medical Sciences, India</b>