

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_97593
Title of the Manuscript:	Land use effects on Soil organic Carbon Index and Nitrogen Pools of a Tropical Coastal Plain Sands in Southern Nigeria
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?  4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?  5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?  6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Yes, I think this paper is a very good piece of scientific research. But there are few ideas that increase the rank of this paper such as adding a map showing the spatial distribution of soil samples, maps showing the spatial distribution of soil properties and some figures showing the significant results.  Yes.  Yes.  Yes.  Yes. Some discussed soil properties (mean weight diameter (MWD) and permeability ( $K_{sat}$ )) didn't mentioned in methodology section. Please refer to its determination or measuring.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Mentioned above.	

Comment [H1]: Didn't mentioned in methodology section.

Comment [H2]: Didn't mentioned in methodology section. How did you measure it?

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Abdel-Rahman A. Mustafa
Department, University & Country	Sohag University, Egypt