

## Original Research Article

---

# Bipartite Domination Number of Mycielski Graph of Some Graph Families

---

## Abstract

For a nontrivial connected graph  $G$ , a non-empty set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  is a bipartite dominating set of graph  $G$ , if the subgraph  $G[S]$  induced by  $S$  is bipartite and for every vertex not in  $S$  is dominated by any vertex in  $S$ . The bipartite domination number denoted by  $\gamma_{bip}(G)$  of graph  $G$  is the minimum cardinality of a bipartite dominating set  $G$ . In this paper, we determine the exact bipartite domination number of a crown graph and its mycielski graph as well as the bipartite domination number of the mycielski graph of path and cycle graphs.

*Keywords:* bipartite dominating set, bipartite domination number.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C35

## 1 Introduction

One of the fast-developing fields in graph theory is the study of domination and related topics. For instance, Bachstein et al.,[2] published a study about the bipartite domination in graphs, and they introduced and defined the concept of bipartite dominating set and bipartite domination number. They investigated the case that  $S$  must induce a bipartite subgraph. Their idea about the bipartite domination came from the published study entitled "Bipartite domination and simultaneous matroid covers" [13].

Recently, we published a new parameter in bipartite domination in graphs entitled Bipartite Domination in Some Classes of Graphs [15], which was inspired by the work of Bachstein et al.,[2]. It presented new approach in finding the minimum cardinality of a bipartite dominating sets of path and cycle graphs via congruence modulo. Moreover, possible exact values of the bipartite domination number of some classes of graph are generated.

In this paper, we extended the study of the bipartite domination number in some special classes of graphs up to its mycielski graph.

---


---

## 2 Preliminary Notes

Some definitions of the concepts covered in this study are included below. You may refer on the remaining terms and definitions in [2], [5], [7], [14], [15], [19].

**Definition 2.1.** [12] (**Total Dominating Set, Total Domination number**) A set  $D \subseteq V$  is a total dominating set if every vertex  $v \in V$  has, at least, a neighbor in  $D$ . The total domination number  $\gamma_t(G)$  is the minimum cardinality among all total dominating sets.

**Example 2.4.** Consider the cycle graph  $C_5$  below.



In the cycle graph illustrated above, the set  $T = \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  is a total dominating set and that  $T$  is the minimum total domination number of  $C_5$ . Thus,  $\gamma_t = 3$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [5] A graph  $G = (V(G), E(G))$  is **bipartite** if  $V(G)$  can be partitioned into two sets  $U$  and  $W$  (called **partite sets**) so that every edge of  $G$  joins a vertex of  $U$  and a vertex of  $W$ .

In this study, we preserved the uniqueness of the partitioning of the bipartite graph, which means that we only consider the bipartite graph with no isolated vertices.

**Definition 2.3.** [7] (**Mycielski Graph**) Consider a graph  $G$  with  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, \dots, v_n\}$ . Apply the following steps to the graph  $G$ :

- (i) Take the set of new vertices  $U = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n\}$  and add edges from each vertex  $u_i$  of  $U$  to the vertices  $v_j$  if the corresponding vertex  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_j$  in  $G$ .
- (ii) Take another new vertex  $w_0$  and add edges joining each element in  $U$ .


Here, the new graph obtained is the Mycielski graph, denoted by  $\mu(G)$  of graph  $G$ .

By the definition of Mycielski Graph, its vertex set can be express as follows:

$$V(\mu(G)) = V(G) \cup G' \cup \{w_0\}$$

where  $G'$  is the set of vertices that are vertex copy of each vertices in  $G$  and the set  $\{w_0\}$  for which  $deg_{\mu(G)}(w_0) = n - 1$  for  $|G| = n$ . Also, if  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V(G)$  then  $S' \subseteq G'$  where  $S'$  is the set of vertices that are vertex copy of each vertices in  $S$ .

**Example 2.2.** Consider the mycielski graph of a path graph  $P_4$  below:



Observe that  $V(\mu(P_4)) = V(P_4) \cup P' \cup \{w_0\}$  where  $V(P_4) = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$  and  $P' = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ .


**Definition 2.4.** [7] (**Crown Graph**) A crown graph  $G(n, n)$  is a graph on  $2n$  vertices with two sets of vertices  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  and with an edge from  $u_i$  to  $v_j$  whenever  $i \neq j$ .

By the definition of Crown Graph we can observe the following:

$$V(G(n, n)) = V(X) \cup V(Y)$$

such that  $X$  and  $Y$  are a partite set with  $|X| = n = |Y|$ .

**Example 2.3.** Consider the crown graph  $G(5, 5)$  below:




Notice that  $V(G(5, 5)) = X \cup Y$  where  $X = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5\}$  and  $Y = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [15] (**Bipartite Dominating Set, Bipartite Domination number**)

A dominating set  $S$  of a graph  $G$  is a bipartite dominating set if the induced subgraph  $S, G[S]$ , is bipartite graph. The minimum cardinality of a bipartite dominating set is called bipartite domination number of  $G$ , denoted by  $\gamma_{bip}(G)$ . A nonempty set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  whose cardinality is the  $\gamma_{bip}(G)$  is called  $\gamma_{bip}$ -set of  $G$ .

**Example 2.4.** Consider the graph  $G$  below.



The possible bipartite dominating sets for the graph  $G$  are  $B_1 = \{v_2, v_3, v_4\}$  and  $B_2 = \{v_2, v_3\}$ , where  $B_1$  can be partitioned into partite sets  $U = \{v_3\}$  and  $W = \{v_2, v_4\}$ , and  $B_2$  can be partitioned into partite sets  $U = \{v_2\}$  and  $W = \{v_3\}$ . Notice that  $B_2$  consist the minimum cardinality of a bipartite dominating set. Thus,  $\gamma_{bip} = 2$ .

### 3 Main Results

In this section, the bipartite domination number of crown graph and Mycielski graph of path graph, cycle graph, and crown graph are shown.

#### 3.1 Bipartite Domination Number of a Crown Graph, $G(n, n)$ and its Mycielski Graph, $\mu(G(n, n))$

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $G(n, n)$  be a crown graph with  $V(G(n, n)) = V(X) \cup V(Y)$  as define. Then  $B \subseteq V(G(n, n))$  is a bipartite dominating set if and only if  $B = B_1 \cup B_2$  where  $B_1 \subseteq V(X)$  and  $B_2 \subseteq V(Y)$  such that  $|B_1| \geq 2$  and  $|B_2| \geq 2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $B$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $V(G(n, n))$ . Let  $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \subseteq V(G(n, n))$  where  $B_1 \subseteq V(X)$  and  $B_2 \subseteq V(Y)$ . If  $|B_1| < 2$  and  $|B_2| < 2$ , then  $B$  is either a dominating set and  $G(n, n)[B]$  is not a bipartite graph or  $G(n, n)[B]$  is a bipartite graph and  $B$  is not a dominating set. Hence, in both cases,  $B$  is not a bipartite dominating set. A contradiction to the assumption that  $B$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $G(n, n)$ . Thus,  $|B_1| \geq 2$  and  $|B_2| \geq 2$ .


Conversely, suppose  $B = B_1 \cup B_2 \subseteq V(G(n, n))$  where  $B_1 \subseteq V(X)$  and  $B_2 \subseteq V(Y)$  such that  $|B_1| \geq 2$  and  $|B_2| \geq 2$ . Then,  $N[B] = N[B_1] \cup N[B_2] = X \cup Y = V(G(n, n))$ . Thus,  $B$  is a dominating set in  $G(n, n)$ . Figuratively, one can easily see that  $G(n, n)[B]$  is a bipartite graph. Thus,  $B$  is a bipartite dominating set in  $G(n, n)$ . □

**Corollary 3.2.** For the crown graph  $G(n, n)$  the  $\gamma_{bip}(G(n, n)) = 4$ .

*Proof.* This is immediate from Theorem 3.1, by setting  $|B_1| = 2$  and  $|B_2| = 2$ . □

To illustrate the above results we have the following example.


**Example 3.5:** Consider the crown graph  $G(6, 6)$  below:



Observe that  $V(G(6, 6)) = X \cup Y$  where  $X = \{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5, u_6\}$  and  $Y = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ . By setting  $|B_1| = 2$  and  $|B_2| = 2$  where  $B_1 \subseteq V(X)$  and  $B_2 \subseteq V(Y)$ . In this case,  $B = B_1 \cup B_2$  such that  $B_1 = \{u_3, u_4\}$  and  $B_2 = \{v_3, v_4\}$ . It can be easily seen that

$$\begin{aligned} N[B] &= N[B_1] \cup N[B_2] \\ &= \{u_3, u_4\} \cup \{v_3, v_4\} \\ &= V(G(6, 6)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $B$  is dominating set. Now, it can be seen that  $G(6, 6)[B]$  is a bipartite graph, shown below:



Hence,  $B$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $G(6, 6)$  and that  $B$  is the minimum bipartite domination number of  $G(6, 6)$ . Thus,  $\gamma_{bip}(G(6, 6)) = 4$ .

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $G(n, n)$  be a crown graph and  $B \subseteq V(G(n, n))$  be a bipartite dominating set of  $G(n, n)$ . Then, the set  $B' \cup \{w_0\}$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \subseteq V(G(n, n))$  be a bipartite dominating set of  $G(n, n)$ . Set  $S \subseteq V(\mu(G(n, n)))$  to be  $S = B' \cup \{w_0\}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N[S] &= N[B'] \cup N[\{w_0\}] \\ &= [B' \cup V(G(n, n)) \cup \{x\}] \cup [G'(n, n) \cup \{w_0\}] \\ &= V(G(n, n)) \cup G'(n, n) \cup \{w_0\} \\ &= V(\mu(G(n, n))). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $S = B' \cup \{w_0\}$  is a dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ . Clearly,  $\mu(G(n, n))[S] = \mu(G(n, n))[B' \cup \{w_0\}]$  is a bipartite graph. Hence,  $S$  is bipartite dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ . □

The next results shows the bipartite dominating set in the Mycielski graph of the Crown and its bipartite domination number.

**Theorem 3.4.** Let  $G(n, n)$  be a crown graph. Then,  $\gamma_{bip}(\mu(G(n, n))) = 5$ .

*Proof.* Let  $B \subseteq V(G(n, n))$  be the minimum bipartite dominating set of  $G(n, n)$  and let  $S_0$  be the  $\gamma_{bip}$ -set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ . Choose  $S = B' \cup \{w_0\}$ . Then, by Theorem 3.4,  $S$  is a bipartite dominating set. Thus,  $|S_0| \leq |S|$ . It remains to show that  $|S_0| \geq |S|$ .

---

On the contrary, suppose  $|S_0| < 5$ . Then, either  $S_0$  is a dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$  or not a dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ . If  $S_0$  is not a dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ , then we are done. Now, suppose  $S_0$  is a dominating set. Then,  $\mu(G(n, n))[S_0]$  is either 1-colorable or 2-colorable. If  $\mu(G(n, n))[S_0]$  is 1-colorable then  $\mu(G(n, n))[S_0]$  is not a bipartite graph. Now, if  $\mu(G(n, n))[S_0]$  is 2-colorable, then  $\mu(G(n, n))[S_0]$  contains isolated vertices. Hence, the uniqueness of the partitioning is not satisfied. Thus,  $\mu(G(n, n))[S_0]$  is not a bipartite graph and that  $S_0$  is not a bipartite dominating set of  $\mu(G(n, n))$ . Hence,  $|S_0| \geq 5 = |S|$ . Therefore,  $\gamma_{bip}(\mu(G(n, n))) = |S_0| = 5$ .  $\square$

### 3.2 Bipartite Domination Number of the Mycielski Graph of Path and Cycle Graph

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $G$  be a graph with  $\Delta(G) = 2$ . If  $\emptyset \neq S \subseteq V(G)$  is a total dominating set of  $V(G)$ . Then the set  $S' \cup \{w_0\} = B \subseteq V(\mu(G))$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $\mu(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $S \subseteq V(G)$  be a total dominating set in  $G$  and let  $S'$  be the copy of  $S$  in  $G'$ . Suppose  $B = S' \cup \{w_0\} \subseteq V(\mu(G))$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} N[B] &= N[S' \cup \{w_0\}] \\ &= N_{\mu(G)}[S'] \cup N_{\mu(G)}[\{w_0\}] \\ &= (S' \cup \{w_0\} \cup V(G)) \cup (\{w_0\} \cup G') \\ &= V(G) \cup G' \cup \{w_0\} \\ &= V(\mu(G)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $B$  is a dominating set. Clearly,  $\mu(G)[B]$  is a bipartite graph. Therefore,  $B$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $\mu(G)$ .  $\square$

In [12], we have the following results for the total domination number which will be used in the proved.

Theorem 2.9.[12] For  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\gamma_t(P_n) = \gamma_t(C_n) = \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + \lceil \frac{n}{4} \rceil - \lfloor \frac{n}{4} \rfloor$ . In other words,

$$\gamma_t(P_n) = \gamma_t(C_n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{n+1}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{n+2}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $P_n$  and  $C_m$  be the path graph and cycle graph, respectively, with  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 3$ . Then,*

$$\gamma_{bip}(\mu(P_n)) = \gamma_{bip}(\mu(C_m)) = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{n+3}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4} \\ \frac{n+4}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For the Path graph  $P_n$ . Let  $V(P_n) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ . Then,  $V(\mu(P_n)) = V(P_n) \cup P' \cup \{w_0\}$  where  $P'$  is the set of vertices that are vertex copy of each vertices in  $P_n$ . Let  $B \subseteq V(\mu(P_n))$  be  $\gamma_{bip}$ -set of  $\mu(P_n)$ . Suppose  $S$  is a  $\gamma_t$ -set of  $P_n$ . Then, by Theorem 3.5,  $B_0 = S' \cup \{w_0\}$  is a bipartite dominating set of  $\mu(P_n)$ . Thus,  $|B| \leq |B_0|$ . By Theorem 2.9 [12],

$$|B| \leq |B_0| = |S'| + |\{w_0\}| = \gamma_t(P_n) + 1 = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4) \\ \frac{n+3}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 4) \\ \frac{n+4}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4) \end{cases}$$


To show that  $|B| \geq |B_0|$ . Suppose  $|B| < |B_0|$ . Then, either  $B$  is a dominating set of  $\mu(P_n)$  or not a dominating set of  $\mu(P_n)$ . If  $B$  is not a dominating set of  $\mu(P_n)$  we are done. Now, suppose  $B$  is a dominating set, then  $\mu(P_n)[B]$  is a 1-colorable graph. Thus,  $\mu(P_n)[B]$  is not a bipartite graph. Hence,  $B$  is not a bipartite dominating set. A contradiction to the assumption at  $B$ . Hence,  $|B| \geq |B_0|$ . Therefore,

$$\gamma_{bip}(P_n) = |B| = |B_0| = \begin{cases} \frac{n+2}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4) \\ \frac{n+3}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 1, 3(\text{mod } 4) \\ \frac{n+4}{2} & \text{if } n \equiv 2(\text{mod } 4) \end{cases}$$

Similarly, for the Cycle graph  $C_m$ ,  $m \geq 3$ . □


**Example 3.6.** Consider the following Mycielski graph of path graph  $\mu(P_n)$ .

For  $n \equiv 0(\text{mod } 4)$ , choose  $\mu(P_4)$ , illustrated below.




The set  $A = \{u'_2, u'_3, w_0\} \subseteq \mu(P_4)$ . Clearly,  $\mu(P_4)[A]$  is a bipartite graph of  $\mu(P_4)$ . Thus,  $A$  is a bipartite dominating set and also the minimum bipartite dominating set. Hence,  $\gamma_{bip}\mu(P_4) = |A| = \frac{4}{2} + 1 = 3$ .

For  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , choose  $\mu(P_5)$ , illustrated below.




The set  $B = \{u'_2, u'_3, u'_4, w_0\} \subseteq \mu(P_5)$ . Clearly,  $\mu(P_5)[B]$  is a bipartite graph of  $\mu(P_5)$ . Thus,  $B$  is a bipartite dominating set and also the minimum bipartite dominating set. Hence,  $\gamma_{bip}\mu(P_5) = |B| = \frac{5+1}{2} + 1 = 4$ .

For  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , choose  $\mu(P_7)$ , illustrated below.



The set  $C = \{u'_2, u'_3, u'_5, u'_6, w_0\} \subseteq \mu(P_7)$ . Clearly,  $\mu(P_7)[C]$  is a bipartite graph of  $\mu(P_7)$ . Thus,  $C$  is a bipartite dominating set and also the minimum bipartite dominating set. Hence,  $\gamma_{bip}\mu(P_7) = |C| = \frac{7+1}{2} + 1 = 5$ .

For  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , choose  $\mu(P_6)$ , illustrated below.



The set  $D = \{u'_1, u'_2, u'_5, u'_6, w_0\} \subseteq \mu(P_6)$ . Clearly,  $\mu(P_6)[D]$  is a bipartite graph of  $\mu(P_6)$ . Thus,  $D$  is a bipartite dominating set and also the minimum bipartite dominating set. Hence,  $\gamma_{bip}\mu(P_6) = |D| = \frac{6+2}{2} + 1 = 5$ .

---

## 4 Conclusion

In this article, the bipartite domination number of a crown graph and mycielski graph of path and cycle graph. As future line of research, it would be interesting to determine further results on some graph clustering.

## References

- [1] Acosta, H.R. , Eballe, R.G. , Cabahug, I.S., (2019) *Downhill Domination in the Tensor Product of Graphs*. International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 13, 2019, no. 12, 555-564. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2019.9955>
- [2] Bachstein, A., Goddard, W., Henning, M., (2022). *Bipartite Domination in Graphs*, Mathematica Pannonica, 1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1556/314.2022.00015>
- [3] Beggas, F., *Decomposition and Domination of Some Graphs*. Data Structures and Algorithms [cs.DS]. University Claude Bernard Lyon 1, 2017. English. fftel-02168197f
- [4] Cabahug, I.S., Canoy, S.R., (2016). *dr-Power Dominating Sets in Graphs*, International Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 10, 2016, no. 3, 139-149. <http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2016.511286>
- [5] Chartrand, G., Lesniak, L., Zhang, P., (2016). *Graphs & Digraphs, Sixth Edition*
- [6] Consistente, L. F., Cabahug Jr, I. S., (2022). *Hinge Total Domination on Some Graph Families*. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 18(9), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2022/v18i930404>
- [7] Dinorog, M., Cabahug, I.S., (2022). *Rings Domination Number of Some Mycielski Graphs*. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 18(12), 16–26. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2022/v18i12621>
- [8] Faudre, R., Gould, R., Jacobson, M., and West, D. (2015) *Minimum Degree and Dominating Paths*
- [9] Guichard, D., (1992). *An Introduction to Combinatorics*, Choice Reviews Online, Vol. 29, no. 6, 29-3354-29-3354
- [10] Harant, J., Rautenbach, D., (2007). *Domination in Bipartite Graphs*, Institut für Mathematik, TU Ilmenau, Postfach 100565, Volume 309, Issue 1, 6 January 2009, Pages 113-122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.disc.2007.12.051>
- [11] Henning, M., Kazemi, A., (2021). *K-tuple Restrained Domination in Graphs*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, Vol. 44, np. 8, 1023-1036. <https://doi.org/10.2989/16073606.2020.1762137>
- [12] Henning, M.A., Yeo, A., (2013). *Total Domination in Graphs*. Networks, 10, 211-219.
- [13] Ko, C., Shepherd, F.,(2003). *Bipartite Domination and Simultaneous Matroid Covers*, SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, Vol. 16, no. 4, 517-523. <https://doi.org/10.1137/S089548019828371X>

- 
- [14] Mangubat, D., Cabahug, I.S., (2022). *On the Restrained Cost Effective Sets of Some Special Classes of Graphs*. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 19(4), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2023/v19i4649>
- [15] Pelias, W., Cabahug, I.S., (2023). *Bipartite Domination in Some Classes of Graphs*. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 19(3), 8–17. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2023/v19i3645>
- [16] Ruaya, K.K., Cabahug, I.S., (2022). *Another Look of Rings Domination in Ladder Graph*, Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 10.9734/ARJOM/2022/v18i12622
- [17] Ruaya, K.K., Cabahug, I.S., (2022). *On Rings Domination of Total Graph of Some Graph Families*. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 18(12), 27–33. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2022/v18i12622>
- [18] Salvatore, J., (2007). *Bipartite Graphs and Problem Solving*, University of Chicago
- [19] Tan, K., Cabahug, I.S., (2022). *Safe Sets in Some Graph Families*. Asian Research Journal of Mathematics, 18(9), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.9734/arjom/2022/v18i930399>
- [20] Tarr, J., (2010). *Domination in Graphs* Structural Analysis of Complex Networks. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-8176-4789-6-4>