

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Physical and Chemical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJOPACS_97516
Title of the Manuscript:	EVALUATION OF HEALTH RISK DUE TO EXPOSURE TO GAMMA RADIATION IN SOME HOSPITALS IN RIVERS STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajopacs.com/index.php/AJOPACS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1- yes 2- No ,I Suggested the title is EVALUATION OF HEALTH RISK DUE TO EXPOSURE TO IONIZING RADIATIONS IN SOME HOSPITALS IN RIVERS STATE 3-No,the abstract is poor there is no results ,conclusion also is poor 4-yse ,but introduction is very poor. Literature Review is poor, this study paragraph is not clear, materials and methods must be describe in details ,not only the detectors 5- the manuscript is scientifically correct if other parameters the study contains ,such as distance between the radiation source and detector, the manufacturing date of the apparatus, type of shielding 6- references isn't sufficient and isn't recent	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Need to review	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fadhil Khaddam Fuliful
Department, University & Country	Kerbala University, Iraq