

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_96721
Title of the Manuscript:	The prevalence of myopia among school students in urban area Bangladesh and to explore the associations between reading and writing postures and myopia
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	yes	
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	yes yes yes	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	yes	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	yes	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Considering it's an urban set up, impact of technology (mobile phone, gadget, laptop) use should be tracked for relation with myopia. A very important point in current scenario.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?		
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	Atleast include in discussion.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	yes	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Varsha Narayanan
Department, University & Country	India