

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97710
Title of the Manuscript:	ACADEMIC MOTIVATION AS A CORRELATE OF ACADEMIC ACHIEVEMENT IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE AMONG SENIOR SECONDARY SCHOOL STUDENTS IN ORLU EDUCATION ZONE OF IMO STATE
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes manuscript is important for scientific community because paper checks any relationship between motivation and academic achievement . In Present scenario, Motivation is very important for academic achievement. A study of relationship between academic achievement and academic motivation of senior secondary school students of ORLU Education Zone of IMO State Yes Yes Yes Recent References are missing. Can add more recent references More comparative study can be mentioned in discussion part and result part.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	English need to be edited and revised	
Optional/General comments	The paper is well written and can be accepted	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Suja George Stanley
Department, University & Country	Chaudhary Charan Singh University, India