

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_97541
Title of the Manuscript:	The Influential Factors in COVID-19 Handling in Socialist and Democratic Capitalism Countries
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1- Yes, The research is sound and compares the situation and handling of the COVID-19 pandemic in both Socialist and Democratic Capitalism Countries.</p> <p>2-Yes</p> <p>3- yes</p> <p>4- yes , but need to add some subsections like data collection ( it will be useful to explain how the authors collect the data and write the steps).</p> <p>5- yes , But the research needs to be supported by numbers (for example, mentioning the number of deaths, the number of those who were exposed to the disease and were cured in some Socialist and Democratic Capitalism Countries Researchers need to present their point of view after reviewing the jurisprudence of previous studies, whether by acceptance or rejection you can use the PRISMA flowchart. It will be more beneficial to you instead of Fig. 1. Data Extraction (Articles relevant to the purpose of research 4- Some typos need to be addressed (e.g. aztra Zeneca, pfizer, and moderna) (asinovac, sinofarm, sputnik V)(8)(9) on page 2, (19)(20)<sup>21</sup><sup>22</sup>. On page 2.....</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	good	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Fatimah Mohamed Mahdy Hassan
Department, University & Country	King Khaled University, KSA