

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Dental Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJDS_97765
Title of the Manuscript:	A COMPREHENSIVE TREATMENT APPROACH FOR CHRONIC GENERALIZED PERIODONTITIS WITH PLAQUE-INDUCED GINGIVAL ENLARGEMENT- CASE REPORT
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajds.com/index.php/AJDS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	1. This manuscript described about the management of a case diagnosed with generalized chronic periodontitis. It does not really show any different in the regular management of the disease described elsewhere. The manuscript is lack of details of the case. The comments are stated in the manuscript. 2. The title can be improved to reflect the content of manuscript. Gingival enlargement can be omitted (please refer to the comment in the manuscript). 3. The abstract is quite brief. It should be more comprehensive by summarising the case and the final outcome. 4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript are acceptable however the subtopic prognosis and treatment should be under the Case report and not as separate subtopic. 5. Generally, it is scientifically correct but need a lot of improvement. It requires revision at many parts. 6. Few references cited are quite old. All figures should be standardised in size and should be well described.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Acceptable and need to correct the typo errors.	
Optional/General comments	The management and the surgical procedure using graft should be elaborated further. Generally, the manuscript requires major revision. See the attachment	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Haslina Taib
Department, University & Country	School of Dental Sciences, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Malaysia