

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural and Horticultural Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAHR_97646
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF DATE OF PLANTING ON RED ANT (<i>Dorylus orientalis</i>) MANAGEMENT AND TUBER YIELD OF POTATO
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajahr.com/index.php/AJAHR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	The manuscript is suitable for scientific community, it covers a good field work experiment with clear objectives which bounds with (SMART goals) Specific, Measurable, Achievable, realistic and Timebound EFFECTS OF DATE OF PLANTING ON RED ANT (<i>Dorylus orientalis</i>) MANAGEMENT AND TUBER YIELD OF POTATO The Title is suitable fo the article Yes, The abstract is comprehensive This paper/Manuscript is well structured to meet the requirement of publication, it explained all the subsections of a standard manuscript Yes, it is scientifically correct The references are adequate and the 25% of the reference are old nevertheless it can be accepted because there are some work that have never been repeated and are found very relevant in the present day research work	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes, the Quality of English language is adequate to accepted range	
Optional/General comments	The Paper/Manuscript is good for acceptance to be published	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Anih Agatha C.
Department, University & Country	Nigeria Police Academy, Nigeria