

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJAEES_97943
Title of the Manuscript:	Pivotal Role of Drones in Agriculture – a Review
Type of the Article	Minireview Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajaees.com/index.php/AJAEES/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. One table of types of drone available or using in India and in other countries of the world should be included in the paper. 2. The table and the figures given have not been discussed anywhere in the paper. These must be explained properly at appropriate places in the paper. 3. Economic analysis of drone application as compared to others should also be included in the paper. 4. The references have not been written in a proper format. Write the references in uniform pattern and proper format. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the references. 5. Some more relevant references related to research papers should be included in the paper. 6. Many of the references mentioned in throughout the paper have not been listed under the reference part. Proper listing should be done. 7. The format of the paper is not upto the mark. Author(s) should go through the guidelines of the journal for writing the paper. 	

Review Form 1.7

Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	The English quality is not so good and grammatically needs to be corrected.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sunil Kumar
Department, University & Country	Banda University of Agriculture and Technology, India