

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_95350
Title of the Manuscript:	ACUTE TOXICITY AND IN VIVO ANTIOXIDANT ACTIVITY EVALUATION OF AQUEOUS EXTRACTS FROM PHYLLANTHUS ODONTADENIUS Müll. Arg.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments  1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)  2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)  3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b>  4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b>  5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b>  6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b> <b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b>	 1- The manuscript is important in its field 2- Yes, it is appropriate title 3- Yes 4- Yes 5- The manuscript needs a revision by: <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• The introduction is too long, it is need to rewrite in brief matter.</li><li>• In all tables, the author should be written the abbreviations of the statics analysis below the tabs.</li><li>• Tab 2 needs to rewrite according to the results of tab 2.</li><li>• Tab 7 rearranged in the same way as tab 6.</li><li>• The discussion is too long, it is need to rewrite in brief matter.</li><li>• Conclusion need to rewrite with the specific details not rewriting the results.</li></ul>	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments 1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b>	English language needs to be polished.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Shaimaa Yousif Abdulfattah
Department, University & Country	Al-Nahrain University, Iraq