

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_82299
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF FARMERS' RESILIENCE TO CLIMATE – RELATED DISASTERS IN OYO STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Why the first 8 pages are landscape, while the rest are portrait? Landscape is not necessary for what is presented in those pages.</p> <p>A lot of unnecessary spaces in the text, all over the paper. Please eliminate them.</p> <p>“Methodology” chapter is short and mixed with results, in some places. After nine, please use figures, like 306 names, 153 respondents, 50% etc.</p> <p>In “Results and Discussions”, tables aren't well presented, sometimes it's hard to tell that there even is a table, not some data in a row. Correct this. Also write something before each table – Table 1 opens the “Results” part, without knowing what it represents. Check this issue in the entire paper.</p> <p>“References” – to be checked entirely! For example, Adeyefa doesn't have year, title etc., it's just the name. In the text it's mentioned.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Berca Mihai
Department, University & Country	UASVM, Romania