

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JPRI_98097
Title of the Manuscript:	Tyrosinase inhibition, antioxidant and antibacterial activity of commercial daisy extract (<i>Bellis perennis</i>)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalpri.com/index.php/JPRI/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	It is a very good research using natural extracts from local plants which will be useful in the medical community. and the botanical	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Very good	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Very good	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Very good	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	There are various plants that can be used and their therapeutic components extracted. more or less writing.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	It is a really good study that makes use of organic plant extracts from the area that will be helpful to the medical industry. include botanical Many different plants can be employed, and the medicinal components can be isolated. less or more writing	
Minor REVISION comments		
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Theeranat Suwanaruang
Department, University & Country	Kalasin University, Thailand