

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMPS_95660
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiology of Chronic Suppurative Otitis Media amongst Children attending a Tertiary Health Facility in north-western Nigeria.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljamps.com/index.php/JAMPS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Yes, the manuscript is important for scientific community 2. Yes, the title of the article is suitable. 3. The authors said the total number of participants= 166, the number of swabs collected =216 , but it is not clear it should be mentioned clearly on abstract and method part how many patients gave two swab and how many patients gave one swab. Also the authors must mention the methods used in isolation and identification of organisms (biochemical tests and culture media types) briefly. 4. In result part there is no subsections used. 5. The manuscript will be scientifically correct if revised according to the above mentioned comments. 6. The references used are sufficient, but many of them are old? On Method part: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - the authors must mention the methods used in isolation and identification of organisms (biochemical tests and culture media types) briefly. - The authors must list biochemical tests in addition to germ tube if any they used to differentiate fungi. - The name of organisms must be written in standard way, must be Italics throughout the document Result -the authors didn't mentioned the types of antifungal agents they used to test susceptibility but they reported all of them as sensitive. Must be listed in methods and result part.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	-Minor language revision is required	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Milkiyas Toru
Department, University & Country	Debre Markos University, Ethiopia