

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Journal of Advances in Medicine and Medical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_JAMMR_97637
Title of the Manuscript:	Sound Pressure Level and Effects on Health in Professional Futsal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journaljammr.com/index.php/JAMMR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes. Yes. It is, but only 14 players have been evaluated, not 23. Yes. No. The 'study design' has not been mentioned. Sample size is too small. Questionnaire is not supported by even the basic measurement of hearing loss or sleep study. Statistical tool has been poorly utilised. No statistical significance has been derived. References are sufficient.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	No. Most of the paragraphs need to be reframed as suggested in the manuscript attached.	
Optional/General comments	The idea of this study is good and interesting. It justifies the need of present day awareness about harmful effects of noise. However, it needs to be strengthened in investigation, methodology, statistical analysis and English language.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bipin Kishore Prasad
Department, University & Country	Rama Medical College Hospital & Research Centre, India